Case 2:11-evay44 WEMAN Document 1 Filed 17/13/11 Page 1 of 9

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating.

	THE FORM THE RESTRANCE OF THE FORM.)					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS	<u>, </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Stanley Gratz, 1042 C	Corn Crib Drive, Huntingdon VY, 19006	KVT-TECH, INC., 110 Terry Drive, Suite 20 0, Ne wtown, PA				
(b) County of Residen	ce of First Listed Plaintiff Montgomery	i i		Dunka		
	(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIVE CASES)	County of Residence	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES	Bucks		
		MOTE: INIT AS	UN U.S. PLAINTIPF CASES,			
			DINVOLVED.	SE THE ROCATION OF THE		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Nar	me, Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Known)	Attorneys (If Known)			
David Annecharico, Pa	a.ID No. 91122, Sand & Saidel, PC, 11					
2 <u>1st Street, Philadelph</u>	nia PA 19103	3 G.				
	DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	HI CITIZENSHIP OF	PDINCIPAL DADTIC	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff		
	<i>∽</i> \	(For Diversity Cases Only)	INDCIPALITARILE	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)		
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	1	PTF DEF	PTF DEI		
	(C.S. Covernancia Noi a Party)	Citizen of This State (7 1			
71.2 U.S. Government	O 4 Diversity					
Defendant		Citizen of Another State	7 2			
	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		of Business In	Another State		
			1 3 3 Foreign Nation	7 6 7 6		
IV. NATURE OF SU	IT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	Foreign Country				
CONTRACT	TORTS	TO FOREST PRESENTATIVE	BANKRUPYCY	OTHER STATUTES		
□ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJUR		☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	7 400 State Reapportionment		
□ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal Injury -	7 620 Other Food & Drug	122 Appear 28 Gay 138	T 410 Antitrust		
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractice Liability ☐ 365 Personal Injury -	e D 625 Drug Related Seizure	28 USC 157	□ 430 Banks and Banking		
IJ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	1 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	S DILONGA TO STATE	□ 450 Commerce		
& Enforcement of Judgmen	nt Slander 7 368 Asbestos Persona	J 640 R.R. & Truck	S PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 820 Copyrights	☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and		
7 151 Medicare Act 1 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product	☐ 650 Airline Regs.	☐ 830 Patent	Corrupt Organizations		
Student Loans	Liability Liability 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPER:	☐ 660 Occupational	☐ 840 Trademark	□ 480 Consumer Credit		
(Exel. Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud	Safety/Health Other		□ 490 Cable/Sat TV		
(3) 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 7 371 Truth in Lending	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	■ 810 Selective Service ■ 850 Securities Commodines		
of Veteran's Benefits 7 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 380 Other Personal ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damaged	710 Fair Labor Standards	□ 861 HIA (1395B)	Exchange		
1 190 Other Contract	7 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage Product Liability 7 385 Property Damage	Act 7 770 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 875 Customer Challenge		
J 195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal Product Liability	7 70 Labor/Mgmt. Retations 7 70 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting	☐ 863 DIWC DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Tide XVI	12 USC 3410		
7 196 Franchise	lojury	& Disclosure Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts		
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	T 441 Voting 5 510 Motions to Vacate		FEDERAL TAX SUITS	[7] 892 Economic Stabilization Act.		
☐ 220 Forcelosure	3 44! Voting	7 790 Other Labor Litigation 7 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	3 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	→ 893 Environmental Matters		
2 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	1 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus:	Security Act	or Defendant) IJ 871 IRS Third Party	3 894 Energy Allocation Act		
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations ☐ 530 General	<u> </u>	26 USC 7609	☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act		
7 290 All Other Real Property	17 444 Welfare 7 535 Death Penalty 17 445 Amer. w Disabilities - 3 540 Mandamus & Other	IMMIGRATION]	☐ 900Appeal of Fee Determination		
	Employment 550 Civil Rights	er ☐ 462 Naturalization Application ☐ 463 Habeas Corpus -	1	Under Equal Access		
	☐ 446 Amer. w.Disabilities ☐ 555 Prison Condition	Alien Detainee		46 Justice 7 950 Constitutionality of		
	Other 440 Other Civil Rights	☐ 465 Other Immigration		State Stannes		
	770 Office Civil Rights	Actions				
	<u></u>	ŧ				
V ORIGIN (Place)	an "X" in One Box Only)		L <u>-</u>			
_ A	· •	4 Bainesses a G Transf	Ferred from CLC Materians	Appeal to District		
	ate Court Appellate Court	Reopened anothe	er district	Magistrate		
<u> </u>	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are	filing (Do not site invisdictions		Judgment		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT	Ching (Do not cire jurisdictions)	01, et. seq. ("FLSA")			
THE WHOOL OF METE	Brief description of cause: Fallure to Pay Overtime Wages					
VII. REQUESTED IN						
COMPLAINT:	1 The state of the	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only i	f demanded in complaint:		
	UNDER F.R.C.P, 23		JURY DEMAND:	/ø/yks ⊐No		
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions):					
IF ANY	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	_		
DATE	SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY OF RECORD				
7/3/1	SROWATONE OF ATTI	OKABI OF KICORD		-		
(1 6 ,	M			JUL 1 3 2011		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			·	nor 1 3 (01)		
RECEIPF# At	NOTION					
AI	MOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGF	MAG, JUD	GT:		
						

Case Augus-04472-MAM Document 1 Filed 07/13/11 Page 2 of 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1-01-4972

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

kiddress of Defendant: KVK-TECH, INC., 110 Terry Drive Suite 200	own PA 10040		
lace of Accident. Incident or Transaction: 110 Terry Drive Suite 200 Newt	Additional Space)		
loes this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?		
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)	a) UNKNOWN yes□ No□		
ocs this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? UNKNOWN	Yes□ No□		
ELATED CASE, IF ANY: ase Number: Judge	P. P. 1		
Juage	Date Terminated:		
vil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:			
Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		
Does this ease involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior	Yes DNo.		
action in this court?	suit pending or within one year previously terminated		
	Yes□ Ng 🔀		
Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier			
terminated action in this court?	Ycs□ No □		
Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro-se civil right	nts case filed by the same individual?		
	Yes□ No 🔼		
VIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)			
Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:		
☐ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. ☐ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts		
□ FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury		
☐ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. ☐ Assault, Defamation		
E Antitrust	4. ☐ Marine Personal Injury		
Department of the control of the con	5. D Motor Vehicle Personal Injury		
Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please		
	specify)		
□ Civil Rights	 7. □ Products Liability 		
☐ Habeas Corpus	8. □ Products Liability Asbestos		
☐ Securities Act(s) Cases	□ All other Diversity Cases		
. D Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)		
All other Federal Question Cases Fair Labor Standards Act of 1	938, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et. seq. ("FLSA")		
(Check Appropriate C	ategory)		
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2. Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and	ty: belief, the damages repoverable in this givil nation occur assumed the entire of the second second the entire of the second		
50,000,00 exclusive of interest and costs:	contact and damages recoverable in this civil denote case exceed the sum of		
□ Relief other than monetary damages is sought.			
TE:			
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#		
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the			
ertify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or			
cept as noted above.	mann one year previously terminated action in this court		
m: 7/13/1	alınn		
	ווובות		



Gratz

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION

v.	:				
leule - Toda	: :	NO. 11-CV	4471		
filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the ev designation, that defendant sh	e Management Track Designa a copy on all defendants. (Sec ent that a defendant does no all, with its first appearance, ies, a Case Management Trac	Reduction Plan of this court, countion Form in all civil cases at the \$\frac{1}{2}\\$ 1:03 of the plan set forth on the tagree with the plaintiff regard submit to the clerk of court and the Designation Form specifying the submit to the clerk of court and the clerk of c	msel for e time of e reverse ing said serve on		
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	LLOWING CASE MANAG	EMENT TRACKS:			
(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases br	ought under 28 U.S.C. § 224	1 through § 2255.	()		
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.					
(c) Arbitration - Cases require	ed to be designated for arbitra	ation under Local Civil Rule 53.2	2. ()		
(d) Asbestos Cases involvin exposure to asbestos.	g claims for personal injury o	or property damage from	()		
(e) Special Management – Ca commonly referred to as c the court. (See reverse sid management cases.)	ses that do not fall into tracks omplex and that need special e of this form for a detailed c	or intense management by	()		
(f) Standard Management - C	ases that do not fall into any	one of the other tracks.			
7 13 1)	Attorney-at-law	Stanley Grotz			
215-85(-0000, 332)	215-851- 434 p	dannechanis A	sondsadel.com		
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	·		
(Civ. 660) 10/02					

#3°

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

STANLEY GRATZ, on behalf of himself and	
all others similarly situated,	

V.

Civil Action No. 11-00 4472 Judge

PLAINTIFF,

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

KVK-TECH, INC

DEFENDANT

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Nature of the Action, Jurisdiction and Venue

- This is an individual and class action under the FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT OF 1938, 29 USC § 201, et. seq. ("FLSA") to recover damages for non-payment of overtime wages to Plaintiff and all others similarly situated.
- Jurisdiction of this court is invoked pursuant to 28 USC § 1331. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to the FLSA.
- 3. The actions and policies alleged to be unlawful were committed, among other places, in and around 110 Terry Drive Suite 200 Newtown, PA 19040, where Plaintiff lives and worked for Defendant, and where Defendant operates as a manufacturer of generic pharmaceuticals and, therefore, this action is within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. Venue is proper.
- 4. Plaintiff Stanley Gratz (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff" or "Gratz") has resided at all relevant times at 1042 Corn Crib Drive, Huntingdon Vy, 19006. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant KVK-TECH, INC. on or about April 19, 2010 until on or about December 10, 2010.

- Defendant KVK-TECH, INC. (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant" or "KVK")
 which manufactures generic pharmaceuticals is a Pennsylvania company with
 offices / outlets in Pennsylvania and maintains a registered office at 1769 Darrah
 St. Langhorne, PA 19047.
- 6. Defendant is an enterprise within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 7. Defendant has annual gross revenues in excess of \$500,000.00.
- 8. Defendant is an employer within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 9. Defendant is subject to the FLSA.
- 10. This dispute is subject to the jurisdiction of this Court; there is no valid arbitration agreement signed by Plaintiff to the contrary.

Background and Statement of Claims

- 11. Plaintiff worked for Defendant from on or about April 19, 2010 until on or about until on or about December 10, 2010.
- 12. Plaintiff worked as a Quality Assurance Associate.
- 13. Plaintiff's primary duty was reviewing documents for errors in format and content.
- 14. Defendant is a manufacturer of generic pharmaceuticals.
- Defendant has a location in and around 110 Terry Drive Suite 200 Newtown, PA 19040.
- 16. Plaintiff was paid a gross salary of \$3,750.00 / month.
- 17. There are in excess of 100 employees in various offices throughout Defendant's operations who perform the same or similar duties and functions as Plaintiff: Quality Assurance.

- 18. The other Quality Assurance Associates are also paid a salary, comparable to Plaintiff's, plus commissions.
- 19. Plaintiff normally worked approximately fifty (50) hours per week.
- 20. The other Quality Assurance Associates have also worked in excess of 40 hours in many work weeks.
- 21. Plaintiff did not make decisions relative to policy or practice and did not exercise independent discretion.
- 22. Plaintiff did not supervise two or more full-time employees.
- 23. Plaintiff was directly involved in production.
- 24. Plaintiff did not meet the administrative exemption, or any other exemption, under the FLSA.
- 25. Other Quality Assurance Associates do not make decisions relative to policy or practice and do not exercise independent discretion.
- 26. Other Quality Assurance Associates do not supervise two or more full-time employees.
- 27. Other Quality Assurance Associates are directly involved in production.
- Other Quality Assurance Associates do not meet the administrative exemption, or any other exemption under the FLSA.
- 29. Plaintiff and other sales representatives are non-exempt under the FLSA.
- 30. Notwithstanding the fact Plaintiff was non-exempt and worked overtime, Plaintiff was paid <u>nothing</u> for the hours worked in excess of 40 hours in a work week.
- 31. Notwithstanding the fact that other Quality Assurance Associates are non-exempt and work overtime they are paid <u>nothing</u> for the hours worked in excess of 40 hours in a work/week.
- 32. The company requires its Quality Assurance Associates work overtime.

- 33. When Plaintiff began work for Defendant on April 19, 2010 there was no time clock or other documented means of recording time.
- 34. Defendant has never employed the use of a time clock or other documented means of recording time.
- 35. Management was aware of the overtime hours Plaintiff was working, even though there was not time recording system.
- 36. Management is also aware of the overtime hours worked by the other Quality Assurance Associates for the same reasons it knew about the overtime hours worked by Plaintiff.
- 37. Defendant has known since before Plaintiff's hiring that neither Plaintiff nor the other sales representatives are exempt.
- 38. Defendant has known since at the latest December 10, 2010 that it has been in violation of the FLSA and has acted in knowing and reckless disregard of the FLSA with respect to the classification and payment of Plaintiff and the other sales representatives for overtime.

Class Action Allegations

- 39. Plaintiff hereby incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 38 of his Complaint as though the same were more fully set forth at length herein.
- 40. This is a class of similarly situation non-exempt Quality Assurance Associates who have worked in excess of 40 hours in many work weeks since a yet ascertained moment that is no later than April 19, 2010 who are not paid overtime.

- 41. The class of similarly situated Quality Assurance Associates are entitled to payment of overtime since a yet ascertained moment at time-and-one-half their regular hourly rate of pay for hours worked in excess of 40 in work weeks.
- 42. Defendant's violations have been knowing and willful.
- 43. Prosecuting this case as a class action for similarly situation employees who have been unlawfully denied overtime wages will promote judicial efficiency and will best protect the interest of the class members.
- 44. There are in excess of 25 class members, and prosecuting cases individually would be duplicative and would detract from judicial efficiency.
- 45. There are no conflicts among the class members.
- 46. Counsel for the Representative Plaintiff, Sand & Saidel, P.C., are experienced in the field of wage and hour law, and class actions, and will fairly and competently represent the interest of the class members.

Count I: Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) - Overtime (Individual and Class)

- 47. Plaintiff hereby incorporates Paragraphs 1 through 46 of his Complaint as though the same were more fully set forth at length herein.
- 48. Plaintiff, and all other similarly situated Quality Assurance Associates are employees of Defendant within the meaning of FLSA.
- Plaintiff, and all other similarly situated Quality Assurance Associates are nonexempt.
- 50. Plaintiff, and all other similarly situated Quality Assurance Associates are entitled to overtime at a rate of time-and-one-half their regular rate of pay for hours worked in excess of 40 hours in work weeks since a yet ascertained moment that is no later than April 19, 2010.

- 51. Plaintiff, and all other similarly situated Quality Assurance Associates have worked in excess of 40 hours in work weeks since a yet ascertained moment that is no later than April 19, 2010.
- 52. Defendant failed to pay overtime to Plaintiff, and all other similarly situated sales representatives, for hours worked in excess of 40 hours in work weeks since June 2006.
- 53. Defendant's failure to pay overtime has been knowing and willful.
- 54. Defendant's failure to pay overtime is a violation of the FLSA.

Prayer for Relief

- 55. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court:
 - a. Order Defendant to make Plaintiff, and all others similarly situated whole,
 by paying overtime wages due; and
 - b. Order Defendant to pay interest, liquidated damages and applicable penalties on wages owed; and
 - Order Defendant to pay the costs and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred by Plaintiff; and
 - d. Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

SAND & SAIDEL, P.C

David Annecharico, Esquire

PA ID No. 91122

113 S. 21st St.

Philadelphia, PA 19103

dannecharico@sandsaidel.com

215-851-0200, ext. 3327 / 215-851-9990 (fax)

Dated: 7/13/1)